

1 Garrett D. Blanchfield  
 2 Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield  
 3 E-1250 First National Bank Bldg.  
 4 332 Minnesota Street  
 5 St. Paul, MN 55101  
 6 Telephone: (651) 287-2100  
 7 Facsimile: (651) 287-2103  
 8 *Attorney for Individual Representative*  
 9 *The Stereo Shop*

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
 12 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

13 IN RE: OPTICAL DISK DRIVE  
 14 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 3:10-md-2143-RS  
 MDL No. 2143

15 This Document Relates to:  
 16 ALL DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS  
 17 ACTIONS

**DECLARATION OF GARRETT D.  
 BLANCHFIELD IN SUPPORT OF  
 MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES,  
 REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND  
 INCENTIVE AWARDS**

1 I, Garrett D. Blanchfield, declare and state as follows:

2 1. I am a partner of the law firm of Reinhardt Wendorf & Blanchfield (“RWB”). I  
3 submit this declaration in support of Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs’ (“DPPs”) joint application for an  
4 award of attorneys’ fees, expenses, and incentive awards in connection with the services rendered  
5 in this litigation. I make this Declaration based on my own personal knowledge, and if called as a  
6 witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated herein.

7 2. My firm has served as counsel to individual plaintiff The Stereo Shop and as  
8 counsel for the Direct Purchaser Class (“Class”) throughout the course of this litigation. My firm’s  
9 curriculum vitae was previously submitted to the Court in connection with Direct Purchaser  
10 Plaintiffs’ prior motion for an award of attorneys’ fees, reimbursement of expenses and class  
11 representative incentive awards on March 16, 2015 (Prior Request for Attorneys’ Fees”). (Dkt.  
12 No. 1535).

13 3. RWB has prosecuted this litigation solely on a contingent-fee basis, and has been  
14 at risk that it would not receive any compensation for prosecuting claims against the Defendants.  
15 While RWB devoted its time and resources to this matter, it has foregone other legal work for  
16 which it would have been compensated.

17 4. During the pendency of the litigation, RWB performed the following work: review  
18 and coding of defendant documents, translation of foreign language documents and drafting  
19 Plaintiffs’ Opposition, including reply briefs, to TEAC’s Motion to Dismiss and Toshiba’s Motion  
20 to Dismiss.

21 5. All of the time that RWB devoted to this case for the benefit of the class was prior  
22 to December 31, 2014. The firm has no additional time to report for the period of January 1, 2015  
23 through October 31, 2015.

24 6. My firm did not expend any unreimbursed costs and expenses in connection with  
25 the prosecution of this litigation for the period January 1, 2015 to the present.

26 7. RWB paid \$5,000.00 in assessments for the joint prosecution of the litigation  
27 against the Defendants after the Prior Request for Attorneys’ Fees. This assessment was not

1 included in the previous Declaration submitted to the Court in connection to the Prior Request for  
2 Attorneys' Fees.

3 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the  
4 foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 17th day of November, 2015 at St. Paul, Minnesota.

5  
6 s/ Garrett D. Blanchfield

Garrett D. Blanchfield

7  
8 4846-0082-6922, v. 1  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28